von Bogdandy | Schmidt-Aßmann [Eds.]

Theorising Comparative Public Law

A Reader from Germany



Beiträge zum ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht

Edited by

the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science represented by Prof. Dr. Armin von Bogdandy and Prof. Dr. Anne Peters

Volume 334

Armin von Bogdandy | Eberhard Schmidt-Aßmann [Eds.]

Theorising Comparative Public Law

A Reader from Germany

Managing Editor: Kanad Bagchi



Open Access funding by the Max Planck Society.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

ISBN 978-3-7560-0599-4 (Print) 978-3-7489-3903-0 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2024

© The Authors

Published by Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version: Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-7560-0599-4 (Print) ISBN 978-3-7489-3903-0 (ePDF)

DOI https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748939030



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



Online Version Nomos eLibrary

Preface

This reader presents contributions that help theorize comparative public law. Its main aim is to advance the transnational field of comparative public law by reflecting on its rationales, methods, and practices. Focusing on comparative *public* law is to showcase its specificities. We do not deny the many commonalities with private comparative law nor the general field of comparative law *tout court*.

When selecting among the many possible contributions, nationality was a key criterion. Indeed, presenting contributions from Germany is this reader's second aim. Comparative public law scholarship (as public international law or European public law) continues to be influenced by national traditions and contexts. Reflecting those traditions and contexts, disputed as they are, helps building a transnational, but rooted field of comparative public law. Such rootedness is valuable in a world that celebrates diversity and self-determination.

The contributions come in three groups according to their main theoretical thrust. Those of the first group mainly reflect *rationales* of comparative public law, while the second are more reflective of *methods* and the third theorizes specific *practices*. Of course, the lines between rationales, methods and practices are rather blurred and many contributions traverse through these categories. Therefore, the presentation under the broad categories of 'rationales', 'methods' and 'practices' is not meant to pigeonhole them into sealed compartments. So the texts could be classified differently. Indeed, academic work, including editorial work, is always a reflection of the situatedness of the scholar, an insight best proven by reflecting on comparative public law.

Table of Contests

Reflecting Rationales

ırl-Peter Sommermann	
The Germanic Tradition of Comparative Administrative Law	11
Peter Häberle	
The Rationale of Constitutions from a Cultural Science Viewpoint	41
Markus Kotzur	
Understanding the Law in a Wider Context:	
On the Value of Comparative Law	67
Anne Peters and Heiner Schwenke	
Comparative Law Beyond Post-Modernism	89
Philipp Dann, Michael Riegner and Maxim Bönnemann	
The Southern Turn in Comparative Constitutional Law	131
Armin von Bogdandy	
Comparative Public Law for European Society	175
Reflecting Methods	
Uwe Kischel	
Method in Comparative Law – The Contextual Approach	225
Rainer Grote	
Contextual Comparison and Shifting Paradigms in Comparative Public Law	247

Table of Contests

Christoph Schönberger	
Comparative Administrative Law:	
Particularities, Methodologies, and History	275
Thouland Calmidt After and	
Eberhard Schmidt-Aßmann	
Comparative Administrative Law: Concepts and Topics	333
Reflecting Various Practices	
Günter Frankenberg	
Legal Transfer	381
2-6	
Peter M. Huber	
The Constitutional Traditions Common to the Member States:	
Identification and Concretisation	405
A 1 TZ 01 11	
Andreas Voßkuhle	
Constitutional Comparison by Constitutional Courts	
- Observations from Twelve Years of Constitutional Practice	423
Susanne Baer	
Comparing Courts	443
Companing Courts	77.
Michaela Hailbronner	

Transformative Constitutionalism: Not Only in the Global South

469